

## **ADJUDICATOR'S SUMMARY DECISION**

CASE NUMBER:	<b>ZA2022-0446</b>
DECISION DATE:	<b>21 June 2022</b>
DOMAIN NAME:	<b>Hikvision.co.za</b>
THE DOMAIN NAME REGISTRANT:	<b>Top CCTV</b>
REGISTRANT'S LEGAL COUNSEL:	<b>n/a</b>
THE COMPLAINANT:	<b>Hangzhou Hikvision Digital Technology Co. Ltd</b>
COMPLAINANT'S LEGAL COUNSEL:	<b>Adams &amp; Adams - Amina Suliman</b>
2 <sup>nd</sup> LEVEL ADMINISTRATOR:	<b>ZACR</b>

### Table of Contents

1. The Parties	2
2. The Domain Name	2
3. Notification of Complaint	2
4. Rights	2
5. Abusive Registration	2
6. Other Factors	3
7. Comments (Optional)	3
8. Decision	3

## 1. The Parties

Complainant:

**Hangzhou Hikvision Digital Technology Co Ltd**

Hangzhou

People's Republic of China

Registrant:

**TOP CCTV**

Cape Town

Western Cape

**2. The Domain Name: HIKVISION.CO.ZA**

**3. Notification of Complaint: (13 May 2022)**

I am satisfied that the Registrant did not submit a response to the dispute in terms of Regulation 18(1) and that all reasonable steps to notify the Registrant of the dispute in accordance with Regulation 18(4)(a) read with Regulation 15(1) have been taken.

Yes       No

## 4. Rights

The Complainant has, to my reasonable satisfaction, shown rights in a name or mark which is identical or similar to the domain name.

Yes       No

## 5. Abusive Registration

The Complainant has, to my reasonable satisfaction, shown that the domain name is an abusive registration in the hands of the Registrant.

Yes       No

## 6. Other Factors

I am satisfied that there are no other factors or circumstances present that would render the decision in this matter unfair.

Yes

No

## 7. Comments (Optional)

n/a.

## 8. Decision

For all the foregoing reasons, in accordance with Regulation 9(1)(a), the Adjudicator orders that the domain name, hikvision.co.za be transferred to the Complainant.

.....  
**CHRISTIAAN J STEYN**  
SAIPL SENIOR ADJUDICATOR  
www.DomainDisputes.co.za